

# Uncertainties in the spectral modeling of galaxies

### Jacopo Chevallard PhD at the IAP (S. Charlot)

# **Spectral evolution models**

- Powerful tool to interpret integrated light from galaxies
- Way to constrain physical parameters (masses, SFR, ages, metallicities, dust content) from large sample of observed galaxies (Brinchmann+, 2004, for SDSS)



# **Uncertainties in spectral evolution modeling**

• Little previous work on model uncertainties. Recent work by Conroy (2009,a,b, 2010) suffers from many limitations



We miss a 'tool' based on a set of *physically motivated* prescriptions to assign realistic uncertainties to physical parameters derived from models

# **Uncertain ingredients in spectral models**



# **Uncertain ingredients in spectral models**



# **Uncertain ingredients in spectral models**



# **Dust uncertainty: inclination effects**

 $A(\lambda, i)$  : absorption + scattering + geometry

Same dust properties, but different **distributions** of dust and stars

Different attenuation laws in the **bulge**, **thick** and **thin** disks.

Aim of my work: use main conclusions from radiative transfer (RT) models to improve dust attenuation prescriptions used in spectral analyses

Includes galaxy inclination and different stellar components

# **Dust uncertainty: inclination effects**



Wild et al. (in publication)

• 23 000 star forming galaxies

• UV through NIR photometry (GALEX + SDSS + UKIDSS)

• Two subsamples based on mu\*, the surface mass density:

- ⇒ high mu\*: early spirals
- ➡ low mu\*: late spirals

• Can we explain the observed relations of the attenuation with inclination and SSFR?

#### stellar thin/thick disk



 $F_{obs} = F_{em} * e^{-\tau}$ 

 $\tau < 1\,$  optically thin regime

 $\tau>1\,$  optically thick regime

• In real galaxies stars in different components (bulge, thin and thick disk, birth clouds) suffer different attenuation

• Moreover attenuation strongly depends on viewing angle of the galaxy

- Can we explain Wild et al. data with classical dust attenuation models?
- Comparison of different **radiative transfer models**:
  - → Tuffs et al., 2004 (analytic, 3 comp.)
  - → Pierini et al., 2004 (analytic, 2 comp.)
  - → Jonsson et al., 2010 (SPH)

- Can we explain Wild et al. data with classical dust attenuation models?
- Comparison of different **radiative transfer models**:
  - → Tuffs et al., 2004 (analytic, 3 comp.)
  - → Pierini et al., 2004 (analytic, 2 comp.)
  - ⇒ Jonsson et al., 2010 (SPH)

Predictions from different models are roughly consistent with each other (esp. optical)



- Can we explain Wild et al. data with classical dust attenuation models?
- Comparison of different **radiative transfer models**:
  - → Tuffs et al., 2004 (analytic, 3 comp.)
  - → Pierini et al., 2004 (analytic, 2 comp.)
  - → Jonsson et al., 2010 (SPH)

Predictions from different models are roughly consistent with each other (esp. optical)

• Tuffs et al. models are the most flexible, since they are given for three separate geometrical components: a thick, a thin disk and a bulge



# **Dust attenuation: data fitting**

- To fit the observed relations of Wild et al. with Tuffs et al. dust models we use a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach
- 4 parameters:
  - $\tau_{B,face on}$ , central face-on B-band optical depth (indicates the total dust content)
  - $\mu$  , fraction of total attenuation arising from diffuse ISM
  - $T_{thin}$ , age of stars in the **thin** disk (for T <  $T_{thin}$ )
  - $T_{bulge}$ , age of stars in the **bulge** component (for T >  $T_{bulge}$ )
  - (Stars with  $T_{thin} < T < T_{bulge}$  are in the thick disk)

# **Dust attenuation: data fitting**

- To fit the observed relations of Wild et al. with Tuffs et al. dust models we use a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach
- 4 parameters:
  - $\tau_{B,face on}$ , central face-on B-band optical depth (indicates the total dust content)
  - $\mu$  , fraction of total attenuation arising from diffuse ISM
  - $T_{thin}$  , age of stars in the **thin** disk (for T <  $T_{thin}$  )
  - $T_{bulge}$ , age of stars in the **bulge** component (for T >  $T_{bulge}$ )
  - (Stars with  $T_{thin} < T < T_{bulge}$  are in the thick disk)



- MCMC = random walk in the parameter space
- Provides the whole 4-dim posterior distribution of  $\tau_{B,face on}, \mu, T_{thin}, T_{bulge}$

Late disks (no bulge)

Early disks (bulge dominated)



- MCMC = random walk in the parameter space
- Provides the whole 4-dim posterior distribution of  $\tau_{B,face on}, \mu, T_{thin}, T_{bulge}$



- Observed relations can be well fitted by our dust models
- Can account for physical origin of trends as a pure

#### geometrical effect

 Both the line-tocontinuum attenuation AND the optical slope are well reproduced

Valuable constraints on the amount AND geometry of dust attenuation



Observed relations can be well fitted by our dust models
Can account for physical origin of trends

as a pure

#### geometrical effect

 Both the line-tocontinuum attenuation AND the optical slope are well reproduced

Valuable constraints on the amount AND geometry of dust attenuation









Observed relations can be well fitted by our dust models
Can account for physical origin of trends as a pure geometrical effect

• Both the line-tocontinuum attenuation AND the optical slope are well reproduced

Valuable constraints on the amount AND geometry of dust attenuation



Our analysis indicates that early / late type spirals have different dust distributions
High mu\* sample: thin disk-like geometry, so stars and dust are well mixed

• Low mu\* sample: thick disk-like geometry, so stars have a larger scale height than the dust disk



Our analysis indicates that early / late type spirals have different dust distributions
High mu\* sample: thin disk-like geometry, so stars and dust are well mixed

• Low mu\* sample: thick disk-like geometry, so stars have a larger scale height than the dust disk



Our analysis indicates that early / late type spirals have different dust distributions
High mu\* sample: thin disk-like geometry, so stars and dust are well mixed

• Low mu\* sample: thick disk-like geometry, so stars have a larger scale height than the dust disk

# Why?



Our analysis indicates that early / late type spirals have different dust distributions
High mu\* sample: thin disk-like geometry, so stars and dust are well mixed
Low mu\* sample: thick disk-like

geometry, so stars have a larger scale height than the dust disk

Ongoing work, possible explanations:

- Effect of the bulge
- Related to the past mass assembly (quiescent vs starbursting)
- Gas / dust physics (turbulence, formation and disruption of dust lanes)

# Dust attenuation: effect on galaxy colors

Which is the effect of such dust prescriptions on galaxy colors? Use the already built model library!







# Dust attenuation: effect on galaxy colors

#### Which is the effect of such dust prescriptions on galaxy colors? Use the already built model library!



# **Dust attenuation: conclusions and future work**

### **Conclusions:**

- Observations have revealed for the first time a clear trend between the slope of the attenuation curve and galaxy inclinations
- Spectral evolution models coupled with radiative transfer calculations can explain such trend as a pure geometrical effect:
  - Late spirals: dust distributed in lanes
  - Early spirals: dust and stars well mixed

• The inclusion of such new prescriptions in spectral models will improve the physical parameter estimates, or, for instance, reduce the errors in photometric redshift determinations

#### **Future work:**

• Find the physical origin of the different dust spatial distributions

# Summary / objectives (1)

- Spectral evolution models are standard tools to interpret observed galaxy spectra, but their uncertainties have not been precisely evaluated
- Need to evaluate the contribution by all basic ingredients of the models to the final error budget, in a "physically" motivated way
- New generation of spectral evolution models will include tools to quantify their uncertainties in any specific application

# Summary / objectives (2)

- Dust: geometry strongly modifies the attenuation laws, needs to take into account this effect
- **Dust**: new set of prescriptions soon published in a paper
- IMF: use of optical absorption to constraint the IMF in distant galaxies (with M. Koleva, G.Bruzual, J. Silk, SC and P. Prugniel)
- **Spectral libraries:** calibration and coverage of parameter space: which is the best one?

Thanks!