Gamma-ray Bursts & SVOM

Frédéric Daigne (Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris)

+ Robert Mochkovitch

Journée scientifique Ondes Gravitationnelles – IAP – 27 janvier 2017

GRBs: observations



Gamma-ray bursts: prompt emission



Photon Energy (MeV)

Gamma-ray bursts: afterglow

- Discovery: 1997 (X-rays: Beppo-SAX ; V: van Paradijs et al. 1997)
- Flux: power-law decay
- Non-thermal spectrum
- Spectral evolution: X-rays $\rightarrow V \rightarrow$ radio

Follow-up: redshift & host galaxy

 High redshift (z_{max,obs} > 9): huge luminosity and radiative energy

$$E_{iso,\gamma} \sim 10^{51} - 10^{54} \text{ erg}$$





Observed emission: prompt \rightarrow afterglow







- Cosmological distance: huge radiated energy ($E_{iso,\gamma} \sim 10^{50}$ -10⁵⁵ erg)
- Variability + energetics: violent formation of a stellar mass BH

Long GRBs: collapse of a massive star Short GRBs: NS+NS/BH merger? (link with GW)



Variability + energetics + gamma-ray spectrum: relativistic ejection



- Variability + energetics + gamma-ray spectrum: relativistic ejection
- Prompt emission: internal origin in the ejecta



- Variability + energetics + gamma-ray spectrum: relativistic ejection
- Prompt emission: internal origin in the ejecta
- Afterglow: deceleration by ambient medium



- Final state of massive stars
- Compact objects
- Relativistic ejecta
- Particle acceleration, non-thermal emission
- Non-photonic emission (GW ? Neutrinos ? CRs ?)



GRB activities at IAP

- Modelling: prompt & afterglow emission (F. Daigne & R. Mochkovitch)
 - internal shock model (prompt phase)
 - photospheric emission
 - gamma-gamma annihilation, constraints on the Lorentz factor
 - long-lived reverse shock (afterglow phase)
 - comparison to Fermi data

(collaboration with GSFC Washington: GBM & LUPM Montpellier: GBM/LAT)

- Shock acceleration, possible link with UHECRs (M. Lemoine)
- Population models, cosmic rates, link with SFR (F. Daigne J.Palmerio) Short GRB rates vs mergers/kilonovae (E. Vangioni, F. Daigne & collab.)
- Host galaxies (J. Palmerio with S. Vergani (GEPI & IAP) ; D. Leborgne)
- Afterglow spectroscopy (P. Petitjean & P. Noterdaeme)



<u>Merger scenario ? Indirect evidence = host galaxies</u>



Short GRBs: no correlation with star formation good agreement with the merger scenario

Long GRBs: star forming galaxies

good agreement with the collapsar scenario

A new challenge: short GRBs in the GW era

- First detection: GW150914 = BH+BH
- Advanced Ligo/Virgo: NS+NS NS+BH mergers are expected soon.



Next step: electromagnetic counterparts?





What will be the observed rate R_{GW} in O2, O3?

In case of simultaneous detection:

 \rightarrow confirmation of the link NS + NS/BH mergers and short GRBs

- \rightarrow new measure of H₀ if the burst redshift is known
- \rightarrow delay GW GRB : constraint on dissipation radius in GRBs

Detection rate: GW+afterglow



Search of GW error box in X-rays, visible

$$R_{GW+AG} = R_{GW} \times f_{\gamma} \times \left(\frac{\theta_j^2}{2}\right) \times SE(D, E_{kin}, n, \text{delay/loc.})$$

Orphan afterglow:

$$R_{GW+OAG} = R_{GW} \times f_{\gamma} \times SE(D, E_{kin}, n, \theta_{obs}, delay/loc.)$$

At later times: kilonova (days) and

 $M = 10^{-2} \mathrm{M_o}, \mathrm{v} = 0.1 \mathrm{c}, \kappa = 10 \mathrm{~cm^2/g}$; $D = 100 \mathrm{~Mpc}$





GRB 130603B Tanvir et al. 2013

nd radio remnant (years)

$$t_{pic} = t_{dec} = 15 E_{K,50}^{1/3} n^{-1/3} \mathbf{v}_{.1c}^{-5/3} \text{ ans}$$
$$F_{\nu}^{\max} = 25 E_{K,50} n^{0.83} \varepsilon_{e,-1}^{1.3} \varepsilon_{B,-2}^{0.83} \mathbf{v}_{.1c}^{2.3} f_{GHz}^{-0.65} \mu \text{Jy}$$

Isotropic but weak...











NAOC, Beijing	IHEP, Beijing
XIOPM, Xi'an	SECM, Shanghai
NSSC, Beijing	
CEA-Irfu, Saclay	IRAP, Toulouse
APC, Paris	IAP, Paris
LAM, Marseille	LAL Orsay
CPPM Marseille	LUPM Montpellier
GEPI Meudon	Obs. Strasbourg
U. of Leicester	MPE, Garching
CNES, Toulouse	



SVOM in context

SVOM = Space-based multiband astronomical Variable Objects Monitor



- SVOM is a multi-wavelength Chinese-French mission dedicated to the transient sky.
- SVOM is a mission deployed on the ground and in space.
- The space segment of SVOM is planned to be launched early in the next decade (2021), for a 3 year nominal mission.
- SVOM is currently in phase C (budget accepted for phase D, E1 (post-launch)

SVOM science:

- Core program: GRB physics + GRB as a tool for cosmology
- Multi-wavelength observation of transient phenomena
- Follow-up: GW, HE neutrinos, but also: radio, V/IR, HE gamma-rays (CTA)
- Observatory program
- See white paper

SVOM at IAP:

- GRB science + contribution to the ground-segment (GRB pipeline)
- 3 co-Is: F. Daigne, R. Mochkovitch, P. Petitjean
- [M. Dennefeld ; M. Lemoine ; D. Leborgne ; P. Noterdaeme ; E. Vangioni]
- 2 engineers: L. Domisse (40%) + 3 years CDD UPMC (recruitment in progress)





Satellite ~ 930 Kg Payload ~ 450 kg

26² arcmin², visible, loc. < 2"

VT

ECLAIRs

2 sr, 4-150 keV, loc. < 13'

GRM 2x3 sr, 15 keV-5 MeV



5000 deg² visible

GWAC





A unique GRB sample

GRB trigger

ECLAIRs 42-80 GRBs/yr



SVOM is sensitive to all classes of GRBs (long/short/soft/...)



A unique GRB sample

Prompt emission

ECLAIRs+GRM

Prompt GRB emission over 3 decades (4 keV-5.5 MeV)

GWAC

prompt visible emission in ~16% of cases

The multi-component spectrum of the Fermi/GBM burst GRB 100724B simulated in ECLAIRs+GRM.



A unique GRB sample

Afterglow & distance

slew request: 36-72 GRB/yr

MXT X-ray afterglow (>90% of GRBs after a slew)

VT -

Visible and NIR afterglow+photometric redshift GWAC C-GFT/F-GFT

> Very large telescopes

Redshift in ~2/3 of cases

The X-ray afterglow of the Swift burst GRB 091020 simulated in MXT.

SVOM and the Gravitational Waves at the beginning of the next decade

<u>GW observations in 2020+</u>



- In 2020+ the network should be able to detect NS+NS/BH mergers within an error box of a few deg².
- Expected NS-NS mergers detection rate: about 40/year within 445 Mpc (z~0.1)
- Expected BH-NS mergers detection rate: about 10/year within 927 Mpc (z~0.2)

(Abadie et al. 2010: large uncertainties)

SVOM launch: end of 2021

Conclusion (in two figures)





How does (will) a GW +GRB association look like ? GW 150914 and GRB 150914 (Connaughton et al, 2016)