Detecting the spin-orbit misalignment of the super-Earth 55 Cnc e

## Guillaume Hébrard<sup>1,2</sup> and Vincent Bourrier<sup>3,1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut d'astrophysique de Paris, UMR7095 CNRS, Université Pierre & Marie Curie, 98bis boulevard Arago, 75014 Paris, France

- <sup>2</sup> Observatoire de Haute-Provence, CNRS/OAMP, 04870 Saint-Michel-l'Observatoire, France
- <sup>3</sup> Observatoire astronomique de l'Université de Genève, 51 chemin des Maillettes, 1290 Versoix, Switzerland

# Abstract

Time-resolved spectroscopy of transits of the super-Earth 55 Cnc e was obtained with HARPS-N. The high precision and quality of the data allowed us to devise a correction for the "color-effect" on the radial velocities. Using that correction, we detected a signal that can be interpreted as a Rossiter-McLaughlin anomaly. This anomaly would have the smallest amplitude measured so far (~60 cm/s), and the super-earth 55 Cnc e would also be the smallest exoplanet with such a detection. The derived sky-projected obliquity is  $\lambda = 72.4^{\circ}$ (+12.7°/-11.5°), indicating that the planet orbit is prograde, highly misaligned and nearly polar compared to the stellar equator. The entire 55 Cancri system may have been highly tilted by the presence of a binary companion.

# The 55 Cancri planetary system

- Host star 55 Cnc A: bright (V=5.9) G8V star;
- 55 Cnc B: M4V likely-bound companion;
- 5 detected exoplanets:

Planet	M <sub>p</sub> sin <i>i</i> (M <sub>Jup</sub> )	Period (days)	Transiting planet
е	0.03	0.74	
b	0.80	14.7	$M_{\rm p} = 7.99 \pm 0.25  {\rm M}_{\rm ea}$ $R_{\rm p} = 1.99 \pm 0.08  {\rm R}_{\rm ear}$
С	0.16	44.4	
f	0.17	261.2	
d	3.54	4909	

Butler et al. (1997); Marcy et al. (2002); McArthur et al. (2004); Fischer et al. (2008); Dawson & Fabrycky (2010); Winn et al. (2011); Demory et al. (2011); Endl et al. (2012); Gillon et al. (2012); Dragomir et al. (2014); Nelson et al. (2014)

# Dynamical studies of that closely packed system in an binary system

- Coplanar?
- Dynamically stable?
- Misaligned?

Kaib et al. (2011) Nelson et al. (2014) Boué & Fabrycky (2014a,b) Hansen & Zink (2015)

Measure the obliquity through RM anomaly!

# 5 transits observed with HARPS-N

Run	Transit mid-time (UT)	$\operatorname{Exposures}^{\dagger}$	$\mathrm{Mode}^{\ddagger}$	${ m Airmass}^{\dagger\dagger}$	$S/N_392^*$	$\rm S/N_{-}527^{*}$	$S/N_673^*$
Α	2012-12-26 at $02h54$	27	ThAr**	1.10 - 1.00 - 1.35	56	261	150
В	2014-01-02 at $01h48$	33	FP	1.00 - 1.43	70	342	260
C	2014-01-27 at $02h49$	30	$\mathbf{FP}$	1.00 - 1.38	27	144	131
D	2014-02-27 at 01h15	30	ThAr	1.00 - 1.39	16	92	93
$\mathbf{E}$	2014-03-29 at 23h41	27	FP	1.01 - 1.36	73	354	269

†: number of 6-minute individual exposures.

‡: simultaneous thorium-argon (ThAr) or Fabry Perot (FP) reference.

*††*: airmass evolution during the observation sequence.

\*: median of the signal-to-noise ratio per pixel at 392 Å (pipeline order # 2), 527 Å (# 42), and 673 Å (# 67).

 $\ast\ast\colon$  except for the two first exposures made without simultaneous reference.

# S/N variations also during each run, due to weather and airmass variations.

#### 5-planet Keplerian model:





### Empirical correction of the color effect



For each Run, the empirical correction allows the dispersion to be significantly reduced (factor 1.7 to 8.3 improvement on  $\sigma$ ).

	E	Empirical correction	on	$\mid DRS \ standard \ correction$	Without correction
Run	Color ratio [i1,i2]/[j1,j2	J	Dispersion (m/s)	Dispersion (m/s)	Dispersion (m/s)
A	[28,30]/[32,3	32] 1	0.23	-	1.92
В	[15,17]/[12,1]	[2] 3	0.32	0.73	2.57
$\mathbf{C}$	[14, 16]/[13, 1]	13] 1	0.67	0.95	1.11
D	[6,7]/[4,5]	1	0.60	1.52	1.39
E	[21,21]/[28,2]	28] 1	0.28	0.43	1.86



For each Run, the empirical correction also allows a reduction of the dispersion by comparison with the standard DRS color correction (factor 1.4 to 2.5 improvement on  $\sigma$ ).

Notes: Dispersion is calculated outside of the transit on the residuals to the Keplerian fit. The corrections refer to the different color-effect corrections on the radial velocities.





F-test: >90% probability that the fit improvement is due to RM detection.

Accuracy on systemic RV: ±8 cm/s.

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit
$\mathbf{Fixed}^{\dagger}$			
Transit epoch	$T_0$	$2455962.0697\substack{+0.0017\\-0.0018}$	BJD
Transit duration	$t_{ m d}$	$0.0660\substack{+0.0035\\-0.0028}$	day
Orbital period	Р	$0.7365417\substack{+0.0000025\\-0.0000028}$	day
Planet-to-star radii ratio	$R_{ m p}/R_{*}$	$0.01936\substack{+0.00079\\-0.00075}$	
Scaled semi-major axis	$a_{ m p}/R_{*}$	$3.523\substack{+0.042\\-0.040}$	
Orbital inclination	$i_{ m p}$	$85.4^{+2.8}_{-2.1}$	deg
Stellar reflex velocity	$\hat{K}$	$6.3{\pm}0.21$	$\mathrm{ms}^{-1}$
$Measured^{\ddagger}$			
Sky-projected obliquity	λ	$72.4\substack{+12.7\\-11.5}$	$\deg$
Projected stellar rotation velocity	$v{ m sin}i_*$	$3.3\substack{+0.9\\-0.9}$	$\mathrm{kms^{-1}}$
Systemic velocity	$\gamma$	$27.40949 {\pm} 0.00008$	$\mathrm{kms^{-1}}$

Parameters for the Rossiter-McLaughlin analysis of 55 Cnc e.

 $\dagger$ : All parameters were taken from Dragomir et al. (2014), except for K taken from Endl et al. (2012).

‡: Values are calculated in Section 4. See also Sect. 5.1 for the uncertainties.

# Validation tests on Run E

- BIC or  $\Delta \chi_2$ ;
- Resampling of observations (Kipping 2010);
- Ephemeris (*T*<sub>0</sub>, *P*, *t*<sub>duration</sub>):
  - $\pm 1\sigma$  values;
  - T<sub>0</sub> from Gillon et al. (2012) 8 min later than Dragomir et al. (2014);
- Model parameters:
  - Limb darkening;
  - Convective blueshift;
  - Keplerian parameters of the 5 planets;
  - Transit parameters of 55 Cnc e;



# Color-effect empirical correction

 $λ = 72.4^{\circ^{+7.4^{\circ}}}_{-9.0^{\circ}}$   $v \sin i_* = 3.3 \pm 0.9$  km/s  $\sigma = 28$  cm/s

Color-effect standard DRS correction  $\lambda = 88.6^{\circ^{+9.3^{\circ}}}_{-9.9^{\circ}}$ 

$$v \sin i_* = 2.9 \pm 1.3$$
 km/s







- Symmetrical
- $50^{\circ} < \lambda < 110^{\circ}$
- 0.5 < *v* sin *i*∗ < 5 km/s

Dependence of the RM anomaly fit on the spectral orders used to compute the color-effect correction (Run E). Two different spectral orders must be used to quantify the color, which explains the white diagonal line where no fits can be done. White contours show the spin-orbit angles obtained for each color ratio (solid lines for positive values, dashed lines for negative values). The colorscale corresponds to the  $\chi^2$  difference with respect to the best fit, obtained with the spectral orders 21 and 28 (white disk) and  $\lambda$ =72.4°. Color ratios in the red part of the diagram show no significant correlation between the residuals of the Keplerian fit and the color ratio. Fits at less than about  $3\sigma$  from the best fit are found in the localized blue area.

According their accuracies, Runs A-D agree with the Run-E detection.





#### López-Morales et al. (2014):

6 additional transits of 55 Cnc e (4 with HARPS-S, 2 with HARPS-N)



## Conclusions

• Possible detection of the smallest Rossiter-McLaughlin anomaly amplitude of an exoplanet so far (~60 cm/s).

• The super-Earth 55 Cnc e would be the smallest exoplanet with a Rossiter-McLaughlin anomaly detection.

•  $\lambda = 72.4^{\circ}$  (+12.7/-11.5): the planet orbit is prograde, highly misaligned and nearly polar compared to the stellar equator.

• The entire 55 Cancri system may have been highly tilted by the presence of a stellar companion.

• The detection mainly stand on one observation: it should be confirmed with other transit observations in good weather conditions.

• We devised an empirical correction for the "color effect" on the spectra, which significantly improves accuracy of radial velocities, below 30 cm/s.

• The potential of this correction on long-term RV measurements could be explored.