## Extracting Accurate Physical Parameters From Broadband Photometry With a New Generation of SED Models



#### wavelength

## Joel Leja

(Yale University —> Harvard University)

with Benjamin Johnson, Charlie Conroy, and Pieter van Dokkum



Simple SED models can result in misleading error bars and biased parameters



Galaxies are complex Many parameters

parameters control the observed SED

Highly degenerate problem when fitting broadband photometry

## Two fitters with reasonable but different implementations can produce drastically different galaxy properties







It is **not yet possible** for models to fully match both the **observed SFRs** and the **observed stellar mass function**! also see Leja+14, Madau+14, Genel+14, Tomczak+15, Davé+16, and many others...!

## Inference Framework

Prospector, maintained by Ben Johnson

- On-the-fly stellar population generation allows flexibility + high dimensionality
- Bayesian statistics with transparent + easily customizable priors
- MCMC ensemble sampling with the emcee python package
- fits photometry + spectroscopy
  with flexible noise modeling
- see poster #18 for more information

### Stellar Population Synthesis

FSPS, maintained by Charlie Conroy

 performs fast, accurate, stateof-the-art stellar population synthesis

## <u>Leja et al. in prep Model</u>

11 parameters + normalization

#### SFH (4 parameters)

Stars

Dust

Gas

flexible, allows for bursts and quenching (Simha+14)

#### Stellar Metallicity (1 parameter) metallicity distribution function

Attenuation (3 parameters) two-component Charlot & Fall model with variable attenuation curve

#### Emission (3 parameters) Draine & Li (2007) model and circumstellar dust

CLOUDY grids (0 parameters) nebular line and continuum emission



## **Test Sample**

- 129 z=0 galaxies from
  Brown et al. 2014.
- GALEX to Spitzer 24 µm broadband imaging, +subsample have Herschel imaging
- Optical spectroscopy, aperture-matched to photometry
- Eclectic mix of stellar masses, sSFRs, and galaxy morphologies.

## Model $H_{\alpha}$ compared to observed $H_{\alpha}$

calculated from the Kennicutt+98 conversion between  $H_{\alpha}$  and SFR



#### Model $H_{\alpha}$ compared to observed $H_{\alpha}$ using built-in CLOUDY $H_{\alpha}$ flux legend integrates ionizing UV flux from model, adding star-forming information about stellar metallicity composite AGN public version of MAGPHYS Prospector $\log(H_{\alpha})$ from broadband fit 10 10 9 q 8 8 6 5 mean offset=0.31 dex mean offset=0.04 dex biweight scatter=0.66 dex biweight scatter=0.15 dex <sup>-</sup> 10 5 9 10 5 $\log(H_{\alpha})$ observed $\log(H_{\alpha})$ observed

#### Dust Attenuation Towards HII Regions from the observed Balmer decrement



star-forming composite AGN

# $The \ D_n(4000) \ Break$ test of stellar age and metallicity



star-forming composite AGN



We built a galaxy SED model that fits broadband photometry to predict H<sub>α</sub> luminosities with both high accuracy and precision

in addition to  $H_{\alpha}$ , we investigate and validate the following quantities:

- dust PAH mass fractions
- $H\delta$  absorptions
- $D_n(4000)$

- nebular attenuation
- stellar metallicities
- dust attenuation curves

## The Future

simultaneous, self-consistent derivation of stellar masses(z) and star formation rates(M,z)

— new values? new consistency?