

CANDELSz7: Looking for the CANDELS that reionized the Universe

Laura Pentericci


INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di
Roma

in collaboration with


E. Vanzella, A. Fontana , M. Castellano, S. De
Barros, A. Grazian M. Giavalisco R. Maiolino,
et al.



The reionization epoch: where do we stand?



Star forming galaxies & AGN form bubbles of ionized hydrogen that grow and eventually overlap. At the end of this process the Universe is completely ionized again.



Open questions:

When? Δz ??

How? $d[Q_{\text{HII}}]/dt$??

Who? Ionizing sources?


Bouwens et al. 2015 see also Robertson et al. 2015

Latest constraints coming from Planck results compared to observational inferences from : Ly α emission fraction, LAE clustering, LAE LF, Damping Wing QSOs, GRBs, Ly α Dark Gaps etc

Probing the reionization epoch with Lyman Break galaxies and Ly α emission

The Ly α emission should be present in all young star forming galaxies: it is quenched mainly by dust within the galaxies (although the final transmission is due also to the escape fraction, outflows etc)

As we go to higher redshift we observe a steady increase of the fraction of Ly α emission amongst LBGs (from $z \approx 2$ to $z \approx 6$): this is an indication that galaxies become on average **younger and less dusty** hence they have stronger Ly α (Cassata et al. 2014, Stark et al. 2010, Vanzella et al. 2009; Stanway et al. 2009)




Cassata et al. 2014 (VUDS data)

As we probe earlier epochs, we should get to a point where the Universe becomes partly neutral: since the Ly α line is easily suppressed by even a small amount of neutral hydrogen we expect to detect a lack of Ly α emission in star forming galaxies provided that the galaxies properties do not change significantly over the same time interval

When does the Ly α decline?

Early results (Fontana et al. 2010, Stark et al. 2010, LP et al. 2011, Ono et al. 2012) by several independent groups indicated that at $z \approx 7$ the fraction of Ly α emission in LBGs is considerably lower than at $z \approx 6$

The rise and fall of Ly α is particularly pronounced for the faintest galaxies (but samples are smaller and observations more difficult)



Stark et al. 2010, Pentерicci et al. 2011, Ono et al. 2012
Schenker et al. 2012, Pentерicci et al. 2014, Caruana et al. 2014

CANDELSz7 : an ESO Large Program to probe the reionization epoch

Motivation


A. The early samples were still small and very heterogeneous in terms of :

- selection (color vs z_{phot})
- observational set-up (i.e. redshift coverage)
- Ly α EW limit reached



B. The distribution of Ly α was still uncertain also at $z \approx 6$ (e.g. Curtis-Lake et al. 2012 claimed a much higher fraction of emitters) drop from $z \approx 6$ to $z \approx 7$ might change

C. Potential bias could arise at $z \approx 6$ samples from the selection in z-band (which contains the Ly α line) as done in early surveys

D. Large field to field variation (e.g. Ono et al. 2012) were observed probably due to spatial fluctuations depending on the degree of homogeneity/inhomogeneity of the reionization process (e.g. Taylor & Lidz 2014)



To overcome these problems we designed and carried out CANDELSz7 an ESO Large Program with FORS2 to observe 200 galaxies at $5.5 < z < 7.3$ in COSMOS/UDS/GOODS-S selected from the CANDELS official catalogs to determine a solid and unbiased statistics of Ly α fractions in this redshift range.




-Galaxies are selected with homogenous color-color criteria from the CANDELS data :



-The selection band (H-band) is independent of the presence of Ly α both at $z=6$ & $z=7$ unlike past surveys and minimizes any bias

-We employ a unique spectroscopic set up and observational strategy: total integration time varies from 15 (for bright targets) to 25 hours (for faint targets) to reach a uniform EW limit for all galaxies.

Examples of $z=7$ candidates in the GOODS-SOUTH field



STACK OF ALL CANDIDATES




Very deep optical data are required to get rid of interlopers

CANDELSz7 final observation Log






FIELD	TOT TIME	OBSERVED	REDUCED	ANALYSED
GOODS1	25	25	YES	YES
GOODS2	25	25	YES	YES
UDS1	15	15	YES	YES
UDS2	15	15	YES	YES
UDS3	15	15	YES	YES
COSMOS1	15	15	YES	YES
COSMOS2	15	15	YES	YES
COSMOS3	15	15	YES	YES
TOTAL	140 hours	140hours		

So far we analysed ≈ 74 new candidate z dropouts ($z \approx 7$ candidate galaxies)
In addition a large number of i-dropouts observed and some high-z ($z \approx 5$) AGN candidates and several massive galaxies at low redshift.


We have confirmed
 15 new galaxies at
 $6.5 < z < 7.2$ all with
 Ly α emission, ≈ 40
 new $5.5 < z < 6.5$
 galaxies with Ly α
 plus several with
 no Ly α emission




Some new high- z galaxies




$Z=7.065$



Stack of $z \approx 7$ Ly α emitters showing a clear line asymmetry




Deep spectroscopy starts to reveal faint $z \approx 6$ non-Lya emitters



Measure redshift for faint (mag=25-26)
non LAEs. First time, non trivial
Half of the LBG population at $z=6$


Vanzella, Pentaricci et al. in pre

Deep stacking of $z=6$ galaxies





Complete sample: equivalent to 740 hours

Stacks allow us to study average properties: ISM abs lines, UV slopes, sizes and trends. E.g. correlation between strength of UV absorption lines and Ly α emission at $z=6$




For the large sample of $z \approx 6$ galaxies we can observe the clear trend that fainter galaxies have progressively brighter Ly α emission exactly as observed at lower redshift






Vanzella et al. 2009

We observe a less strong correlation between the UV slope β and Ly α EW, which is observed at lower redshifts



Early structures at the end of the reionization epoch: a triplet of galaxies at $z=6.6$ in the UDS field

We found 3 extremely bright galaxies ($M_{UV}=-21-21.5$) with Ly α emission. Their redshifts are within 250 km/s of each other and the sky positions within 1 arcmin (≈ 340 kpc proper) at $z=6.56$



The spectral energy distribution of the three galaxies, from UV to IRAC, including all photometric points that a ELIS catalog (Galametz et al. 2013). The black line represent the best fitting model with Bruzual & Charlot template of nebular continuum and emission lines.





TABLE 2
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF THE TRIPLET



ID	Mass $10^9 M_{\odot}$	$SFR_{Ly\alpha}$ $M_{\odot} yr^{-1}$	SFR_{UV} $M_{\odot} yr^{-1}$	SFR_{SED} $M_{\odot} yr^{-1}$	Age Myrs	met	E(B-V)
UDS1920	4.7	1.5	15.0	46.7	100	0.2	0.1
UDS4812	7.1	8.9	15.8	7.1	250	0.2	0.0
UDS4872	19.8	2.4	20.0	7.0	355	0.2	0.0

Galaxies have masses of $0.5-2 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$
colors indicate the presence of strong nebular
emission in IRAC Channel 1

In red the position of all star forming galaxies in the UDS field with photometric redshifts $=6.6 \pm 0.2$. There is a $>5\sigma$ over-density around the triplet. Compared to the most distant overdensity found @ $z=6.01$ (Tashikawa et al 2014), the redshift range of confirmed emitters is considerably narrower ($\Delta z=0.006$ vs 0.05)




To evaluate the fraction of Ly α emitters at $z \approx 6$ and $z \approx 7$ we first perform accurate 2D simulations to assess the sensitivity of our spectroscopic observations (and hence the EW limit reached for each object). Fake Ly α lines with realistic shapes, are inserted in real raw frames at varying wavelength and then processed as real data by our own reduction pipeline



Simulations are repeated for different line fluxes, different slits in the masks, and different spatial positions along the slit to get all possible resulting S/N, which are then converted into EW limits depending on the magnitudes of the targets)

Including new Large Program data & earlier & archival observations we assembled a sample of ≈ 120 z-dropouts & 180 i-dropouts in 8 independent fields: this is the largest homogeneous sample of high- z galaxies observed spectroscopically. We can now measure the Ly α emission fraction at $z=6$ & $z=7$ with great accuracy



bright galaxies
($M_{\text{UV}} < -20.25$)

faint galaxies
($M_{\text{UV}} > -20.25$)

new $z=7$ limits
new $z=6$ limits

Ono et al. 2012

$\text{EW}(\text{Ly}\alpha) > 25 \text{ \AA}$

$\text{EW}(\text{Ly}\alpha) > 55 \text{ \AA}$

Is Ly α quenched by neutral hydrogen?

The challenge in using Ly α emitting galaxies as a probe of reionization lies in correctly interpreting observations

The Ly α transfer involves a wide range of scales including

- interstellar medium (ISM) with dust and gas distribution and kinematics (e.g. Hutter et al. 2014)
- circum-galactic medium (CGM) i.e. direct environment of the galaxies out to few hundreds kpc (e.g. Laursen et al. 2011)
- IGM which contains diffuse neutral gas surrounding large ionized bubbles which themselves contain dense self-shielding gas clouds

The reduced visibility of Ly α emission during the EoR is controlled by both diffuse HI patches in large-scale bubble morphology and small-scale absorbers. The possible approaches are:

- 1) The "bubble" models where small scale absorbers are neglected and the global HI fraction measures the content of HI in the diffuse neutral IGM outside the ionized bubbles (e.g. Dijkstra et al. 2011, Jensen et al. 2013)
- 2) The "Web" models where only small scale HI absorbers are considered (e.g. Bolton & Haehnelt 2013)
- 3) The "Web-bubble" models which contain both neutral phases (e.g. Mesinger et al. 2015, Choudhury et al. 2015, Kachiiki et al. 2016)

In addition the escape fraction of Lyman continuum photons adds another degeneracy parameter as explored in Mesinger et al. 2014

Setting constraints on the neutral hydrogen fraction

An example of **bubble model** is the one developed by **Dijkstra & Whyte (2011)** which couples large scale semi-numeric simulations of reionization with galaxies outflows, adapted to our redshift and mass range

Assumptions - the Universe is completely ionized by $z=6$

- the escape fraction of LyC photons remains unchanged
- the EW distribution at $z=6$ is modeled as an exponential function matching observations
- the halos of simulated LBGs have $5 \times 10^8 M_\odot < m_{\text{halo}} < 10^{12} M_\odot$
SFR up to $1\text{--}20 M_\odot/\text{yr}$
- the galaxies have no dust

Variables:

--Outflowing wind velocity


FIDUCIAL MODEL 200 km/s

--Neutral hydrogen fraction

--Column density of HI

FIDUCIAL MODEL: $N_{\text{HI}} = 10^{20} \text{ cm}^2$





$$X_{\text{HI}} \geq 0.62 @ z=7$$



New limits from final sample

Setting constraints on the neutral hydrogen fraction

An example of **web-bubble model** is the one developed by **Kachiiki et al. 2016** together with purely web and purely bubble models: they show that a joint analysis of LAE LF and Ly emission fraction in LBGs can potentially discriminate between these models




Setting constraints on the neutral hydrogen fraction

Alternatively the observed reduction in Ly α at $z=7$ could be explained by an evolution in the escape fraction of ionizing photons, f_{esc} as in [Mesinger et al. 2014](#)

To match the observed data with a pure evolution in f_{esc} and no change in HI, requires f_{esc} values that are far too large ($f_{\text{esc}}=0.65$ at $z=6$ and 0.75 at $z=7$) compared to low redshift

However assuming $f_{\text{esc}} = 0.04[(1+z)/5]^4$ (as in Becker & Bolton 2013) coupled with change in HI can do the job with a more reasonable value

$$X_{\text{HI}} \geq 0.2 \text{ \& } f_{\text{esc}} = 0.26 \text{ @ } z=7$$




Can we set any (indirect) constraint on f_{esc} at high z ?

While increasing f_{esc} and increasing neutral hydrogen have similar (degenerate) effect on the visibility of the Ly α line, the optical emission lines OIII and H β are only affected by f_{esc}


Evidence for the presence of these strong lines is ubiquitous in the IRAC channels.

SED fitting of high redshift galaxies requires strong contribution by nebular lines (e.g. De Barros et al 2014)





We selected galaxies with $z=6.5 - 7$ and derived IRAC CH1-CH2 colors of those in UDS and GOODS fields where the deepest IRAC imaging is available)

We divide our sample in galaxies with and without Ly α line



 Stacked color

Although a large scatter is present in both samples, the galaxies with Ly α in emission have a much larger color term ($Ch1-Ch2=-1.0\pm0.21$) than galaxies without Ly α ($Ch1-Ch2=-0.47\pm0.11$)



We compute the expected IRAC colour for different f_{esc} values as a function of galaxy age for

1) stellar + nebular templates (Schaerer & de Barros 2009), assuming caseB recombination and He and metals lines from Anders et al. (2003) as in a radiation bounded nebula.

2) modelling a density bounded nebula with CLOUDY with stellar templates from BC03

In both scenarios, Ly α emitters are reproduced only if $f_{\text{esc}} < 20\%$, The colours of non-emitters are consistent with higher f_{esc} if age < 200 Myrs (density bounded model) or < 100 Mys (radiat. bounded

If the trend of decreasing Ly α is confirmed $\rightarrow$ galaxies at $z > 7.5$ might mostly have extremely faint Ly α emission lines ($EW < 10 \text{ \AA}$ flux $< 10^{-18} \text{ erg/s/cm}$) or Ly α may be absent $\rightarrow$ it will be hard to secure the redshifts of statistical samples of $z=7.5-8.5$ galaxies with current near-IR facilities (MOSFIRE, KMOS, LUCIFER..)

We have to seek new methods to confirm the redshift of sizeable samples of galaxies during the first 600 Mys

-Alternative selection e.g. indication of strong OIII+H β in IRAC 4.5 μ channel. (Roberts-Borsani et al 2016, Stark et al 2016)

-Alternative emission lines shifted in the near-IR e.g. CIV 1548, OIII]1664 & CIII]1909 which are not affected by neutral hydrogen (Oesch et al. 2015)

-Lensed galaxies where Ly α should be stronger

-ALMA observations of [CII]158 μ m

3) **Lensed galaxies** : Ly α should be very strong in very faint galaxies given the M_{uv} vs EW relation observed at lower redshift

So far few spectroscopic confirmations (Bradac et al. 2012, Schenker et al. 2012, Vanzella et al. 2014, Huang et al. 2015)

Systematic searches:

CLASH VLT follow up (Rosati et al.): probed not deep enough for $z \approx 7$ galaxies


GLASS (The Grism Lens-Amplified Survey from Space, PI T. Treu) In 24/159 candidate high- z galaxies we detect emission lines consistent with Ly α @ $6.2 < z < 10.2$ (Schmidt et al. 2015). However purity $< 100\%$ and some of the lines are ambiguous and/or low S/N (see Tommaso's talk)

KMOS follow up of GLASS (ESO Large Program, PI A. Fontana) Spectroscopic observations of 7 clusters with targets preselected to show signature of Ly α in the HST low resolution spectra



- 20 hours per cluster, > 25 candidates emitters at $z > 7$

ALMA observations

[CII]158 μ m line is not effected by neutral hydrogen & dust.
Up to $z=5-6$ the CII-SFR relation is similar to the one for local star forming galaxies (Capak et al. 2015, Willott et al. 2015)
At $z \approx 7$ galaxies observations were initially disappointing with several non detections (Ota et al. 2014, Gonzalez-Lopez et al. 2014, Ouchi et al. 2013) but we are starting to get some results (Maiolino et al. 2015, Watson et al. 2015)



We have obtained time in ALMA Cycle 3 to observe the 7 brightest galaxies from the ESO Large Program with Ly α emission at $z > 6.6$ and $\text{SFR} > 15 M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$: the aim is to reach $\log(L[\text{CII}]) \approx 7.5$
.....results are very promising!!!



A clear detection of CII at $z=6.$, the rest under analysis now

STAY TUNED!!!